Atty Matlak, Steven M., of Dowling Aaron Inc. (for Petitioner Bruce D. Bickel, Trustee)

Petition for Settlement of First Account Current and Report of Trustee; and for Approval of Attorney's Fees and Costs

Ag	e: 9 years	BRUCE D. BICKEL, Trustee of the AIDAN O'CONNOR	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		SPECIAL NEEDS TRUST established 3/20/2012, is	
		Petitioner.	Note: Court will set a status
		=	hearing as follows:
	nt, from	Account period: 3/20/2012 - 1/15/2013	
			• Friday, June 12, 2015 at
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Accounting - \$222,197.79	9:00 a.m. in Dept. 303 for
✓	Verified	Beginning POH - \$222,197.79	filing of the second account.
	Inventory	Ending POH - \$216,161.89	decom.
	PTC	Trustee - not requested	Pursuant Local Rule 7.5, if the
	Not.Cred.	nonequesieu	document noted above is
✓	Notice of Hrg	Attorney - \$2,620.50	filed 10 days prior to the dates
✓	Aff.Mail V		listed, the hearing will be taken
	Aff.Pub.	period from 12/2/2011 – 1/28/2013 for 14.30 hours @	off calendar and no
	Sp.Ntc.	attorney/paralegal rates from \$330.00 to \$75.00;	appearance will be required.
	Pers.Serv.	includes \$200 costs.)	~Please see additional page~
	Conf. Screen	Bond - \$276,110.69	~riedse see addilioridi page~
	Letters	(sufficient)	
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections	Petitioner states:	
	Video	As a result of the Litigation Settlement in Los	
	Receipt	Angeles Superior Court, the Trust received	
	CI Report	[\$222,197.79] in cash, and an annuity was	
_	2620	purchased issued by Metropolitan Life, Inc., in the amount of \$1,100,000.00, which will pay \$3,750.81	
<u>,</u>	Order	monthly for life beginning 2/20/2014 (copy of	
H	Aff. Posting	annuity attached as Exhibit B);	Reviewed by: LEG
	Status Rpt	Petitioner has not kept all cash invested in interest-	Reviewed by: £20
	UCCJEA	bearing accounts, as he was able to open a free	Updates: 4/8/13
	Citation	checking account at Yosemite Bank without any	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	 monthly charges only if no interest were to accrue; it was determined that if Petitioner opened an 	File 1 – O'Connor
		interest-bearing checking account, the service	
		charges would be in excess of the earned interest;	
		~Please see additional page~	

1

First Additional Page 1, Aidan O'Connor SNT, Case No. 11CEPR01097

Petitioner states, continued:

- Petitioner initially held the money at the Yosemite Bank checking account to provide flexibility for quicker
 withdrawals while Petitioner familiarized himself with Aiden's financial needs; within the last year, Petitioner has
 evaluated the financial needs of Aiden, and based thereon, intends to move the bulk of the money into
 longer-term investments with Merrill Lynch within the next month for a better return to the Special Needs Trust;
- On 3/20/2012, the Court authorized Petitioner as Trustee to receive payments of fees each month at the rate of \$150.00 per hour for services rendered in connection with the administration of the Trust, but not to exceed \$1,000.00 in any given month, with reimbursement for any excess fees of \$1,000.00 requiring Court approval; at this time, there is no excess requested from Petitioner.

Petitioner prays for an Order:

- 1. Approving, allowing and settling the First Account and Report of the Trustee, and ratifying, approving and confirming all acts and transactions of the Petitioner as Trustee set forth in the account;
- 2. Authorizing and directing Petitioner to pay the Attorney fees and reimbursement of costs; and
- 3. Authorizing Petitioner to pay the annual premium for the bond [of ~ \$1,091.00] from the cash assets of the Trust estate without further Court order.

Note re Expenditures for Special Needs: Petition for Order Establishing Special Needs Trust filed 12/14/2011 for the establishment of this SNT states that Aidan was diagnosed with Autistic Disorder, has a considerable disability with low intellectual abilities and motor skills, and will require continued multiagency collaboration and treatment as he faces greater demands (please refer to Exhibit A attached to said Petition for a copy of Medical Report of Howard Glidden, Ph.D.) Schedule D, Disbursements as part of the instant Petition shows a total of \$6,035.90 was disbursed in May 2012 from the SNT assets during this account period, which amount was expended for court-approved attorney fees (\$4,895.00), bond premium (\$1091.00), and check printing costs (\$49.90). (No Trustee fees have been paid or are requested in the instant Petition.) The instant Petition states within the last year, Petitioner has evaluated the financial needs of Aiden, and based upon that evaluation, intends to move the bulk of the money into longerterm investments. In light of the fact that the monthly annuity payments to Aiden will not commence until 2/20/2014, the Court may require further information regarding the financial needs of the Beneficiary as determined by the Petitioner, and whether any expenditures for the Beneficiary's special needs are anticipated from the current property on hand, based upon Petitioner's plan to place the funds into long-term investments that might restrict the Trustee's ability to make expenditures for the Beneficiary's special needs when necessary. (Note: Declaration of Steven M. Matlak filed 4/8/13 provides further information, noted on additional page.)

Note re Workers' Compensation Benefits: Minute Order dated 1/31/2012 from the hearing on the Petition for Order Establishing Special Needs Trust states, in pertinent part, that Mr. Matlak notes Aidan is entitled to workers' compensation benefits and his firm has hired an attorney who specializes in workers' compensation claims. Exhibit B attached to the Declaration of Steven M. Matlak in Support of Request for Attorney's Fees and Costs filed 2/21/2013 contains one entry dated 1/25/2012 stating "Telephone conference with Mr. Bell regarding workers' compensation benefits in relation to the special needs trust." The instant Petition filed 2/21/2013 is silent regarding the status of the workers' compensation benefits to which the Beneficiary is entitled as Attorney Matlak indicated to the Court on 1/31/2012. Court may require further information regarding the status of the workers' compensation benefits claim pursued on behalf of the SNT Beneficiary. (Note: Declaration of Steven M. Matlak filed 4/8/13 states the workers' compensation attorney determined that no workers' compensation benefits were available to Aiden.

~Please see additional page~

Second Additional Page 1, Aidan O'Connor SNT, Case No. 11CEPR01097

Declaration of Steven M. Matlak in Support of Petition for Settlement of First Account Current and Report of Trustee; and for Approval of Attorney's Fees and Costs filed 4/8/2013 states:

- For clarification regarding the financial needs of Aiden, while the Trustee intends to move the bulk of the Trust assets into longer-term investments, the Trustee will maintain sufficient liquid assets to meet Aiden's needs;
- The majority of Aiden's special needs are currently being met by his school district; the Trustee intends to use some funds to fill in some minor gaps in services in the near future; in this regard the Trustee has met with Aiden's mother, and developed some plans for the use of some of the funds;
- If an emergency arises requiring access to some of the assets held in longer-term investments, such investments will remain convertible to cash without much difficulty;
- As he has with many of his other Trust accounts, the Trustee has hired Scott McDonald, Senior Vice President of Investments at Merrill Lynch Wealth Management, to manage the long-term investment account;
- Mr. McDonald specializes in managing SNT accounts under the limits imposed by the California Probate Code, and he is recognized state-wide for his expertise in this area;
- Mr. McDonald was a contributing author to a discussion on proper SNT investments in the CEB book on SNTs, a leading book used by SNT practitioner's throughout the state;
- A workers' compensation attorney determined that no workers' compensation benefits were available to Aiden.

Rafael De La Mora (GUARD/PE)
Sanoian, Joanne (Petitioner – Attorney for Guardians)
Petition for Attorney Fees

Age: 17			JOANNE SANOIAN, Petitioner,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			represents Guardians of the Person	Allerda Ondara 5 10 Ocean III II II II II II
			and the Guardian of the Estate of the	Minute Order 3-5-13: Counsel is directed to submit a
			minor Rafael De La Mora.	declaration regarding the fees.
Cor	nt. from 030513		Dolla Canzalaz Bafasal Da La Marza	Declaration filed 4-4-13 details the case history , time
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Delia Gonzalez, Rafael De La Mora	spent, and work performed, but does not appear to
~			Martin, and Maria De Jesus Gomez Munoz were appointed Co-Guardians	address the following items that were previously
Ě	Verified		of the Person, and Delia Gonzalez was	<u>noted</u> :
\vdash	Inventory		appointed Guardian of the Estate, on	Pursuant to Probate Code §2640(a), a petition for
	PTC		10-31-12.	attorney fees may be brought after the filing of the
	Not.Cred.		10 01 12.	I&A, or any other period of time as the court for
`	Notice of Hrg		Petitioner asks that she be paid a total	good cause orders. In this case, only a <u>partial</u> I&A
>	Aff.Mail	W	of \$12,535.00 (\$4,178.50 from each	has been filed.
	Aff.Pub.		minor's estate) for her services to the	The Court may require clarification regarding
	Sp.Ntc.		guardians, including establishment of	good cause for bringing this petition prior to the
	Pers.Serv.		temporary and permanent	filing of the Final I&A, and also prior to the filing of
	Conf. Screen		guardianships for all three minors,	the first account, as is usual practice in this Court
	Letters		preparations of guardianship	pursuant to Local Rule 7.16.
Ì	Duties/Supp		questionnaires for each of the	2. Politionar states the sum of \$117,252.00 is assembly
Ī	Objections		guardians, attendance at hearings, office and telephone conferences	Petitioner states the sum of \$117,353.88 is currently on deposit, and this amount is reflected on the
	Video		with clients regarding distributions to	Partial I&A filed 12.18.12. With reference to §2640
	Receipt		the minors, telephone conferences	and #1 above, the Court may require further
	CI Report		and email correspondence with other	information for this file regarding what additional
Ì	9202		attorneys related to the matter,	assets are expected for each minor. (The original
	Order	Χ	preparation of Response to Amended	petition indicated \$0 for the estimated estate value.) At this time, a status hearing is set for 12-6-
			Petition for Visitation, preparation of	13 re: receipt of assets from estate.
			application for Primerica life insurance	
			proceeds, preparation of orders and	3. Need Order, plus Order for Withdrawal of Funds
			receipts for deposits of money into	from Blocked Account (MC-358).
			blocked accounts. Petitioner states	
	Aff. Posting		the sum of \$117,353.88 is currently on	Reviewed by: skc
	•		deposit in this guardianship estate account.	-
┝	Status Rpt UCCJEA		account.	Reviewed on: 4-3-13
	Citation		Attorney Joanne Sanoian:	Updates: 4-4-13 Recommendation:
\vdash	FTB Notice		11.40 hours @ \$300/hr (\$3,420)	File 2 – De La Mora
	I ID IAOIICE		7 2 1 1 2 1 2 4 2 3 7 1 2 3 7 1 2 3 7	I IIE Z - DE LU MUIU
			Associate Lisa Horton:	
			31.30 hours @ \$200/hr (\$6,260)	
			Paralegal 28.55 hours @ \$100/hr	
			(\$2,855)	
			Note: Costs (reimbursement for filing	
			fees) are not requested from Rafael's	
			estate; however, filing cost of \$105.15 is	
			requested from Francisco's estate,	
			and \$435 is requested from Fernando's estate.	
			csiule.	0

Francisco De La Mora, Jr. (GUARD/PE)

Atty Sanoian, Joanne (Petitioner – Attorney for Guardians)

Petition for Attorney Fees and Reimbursement for Costs Advanced

3

Age: 15	JOANNE SANOIAN, Petitioner, represents	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	Guardians of the Person and the Guardian of the Estate of the minor Francisco De La Mora.	Minute Order 3-5-13: Counsel is directed to submit a declaration regarding the fees.
Cont. from 030513 Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 Order X	Delia Gonzalez, Rafael De La Mora Martin, and Maria De Jesus Gomez Munoz were appointed Co-Guardians of the Person, and Delia Gonzalez was appointed Guardian of the Estate, on 10-31-12. Petitioner asks that she be paid a total of \$12,535.00 (\$4,178.50 from each minor's estate) for her services to the guardians, including establishment of temporary and permanent guardianships for all three minors, preparations of guardianship questionnaires for each of the guardians, attendance at hearings, office and telephone conferences with clients regarding distributions to the minors, telephone conferences and email correspondence with other attorneys related to the matter, preparation of Response to Amended Petition for Visitation, preparation of application for Primerica life insurance proceeds, preparation of orders and receipts for deposits of money into blocked accounts. Petitioner states the sum of \$117,353.88 is currently on deposit in this guardianship estate account.	 Declaration filed 4-4-13 details the case history, time spent, and work performed, but does not appear to address the following items that were previously noted: Pursuant to Probate Code §2640(a), a petition for attorney fees may be brought after the filing of the I&A, or any other period of time as the court for good cause orders. In this case, only a partial I&A has been filed. The Court may require clarification regarding good cause for bringing this petition prior to the filling of the Final I&A, and also prior to the filling of the first account, as is usual practice in this Court pursuant to Local Rule 7.16. Petitioner states the sum of \$117,353.88 is currently on deposit, and this amount is reflected on the Partial I&A filed 12.18.12. With reference to §2640 and #1 above, the Court may require further information for this file regarding what additional assets are expected for each minor. (The original petition indicated \$0 for the estimated estate value.) At this time, a status hearing is set for 12-6-13 re: receipt of assets from estate. Need Order, plus Order for Withdrawal of Funds from Blocked Account (MC-358).
Aff. Posting	Attorney Joanne Sanoian: 11.40 hours @ \$300/hr (\$3,420)	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation	Associate Lisa Horton: 31.30 hours @ \$200/hr (\$6,260)	Reviewed on: 4-3-13 Updates: 4-4-13 Recommendation:
FTB Notice	Paralegal 28.55 hours @ \$100/hr (\$2,855)	File 3 – De La Mora
	Note: Costs (reimbursement for filing fees) are not requested from Rafael's estate; however, filing cost of \$105.15 is requested from Francisco's estate, and \$435 is requested from Fernando's estate.	

Atty

Fernando De La Mora (GUARD/PE)
Sanoian, Joanne (Petitioner – Attorney for Guardians)
Petition for Attorney Fees and Reimbursement for Costs Advanced

Age: 13	JOANNE SANOIAN, Petitioner, represents	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Tigov is	Guardians of the Person and the Guardian of	Minute Order 3-5-13: Counsel is directed to
	the Estate of the minor Fernando De La Mora.	submit a declaration regarding the fees.
	=	
Cont. from 030513	Delia Gonzalez, Rafael De La Mora Martin,	Declaration filed 4-4-13 details the case
Aff.Sub.Wit.	and Maria De Jesus Gomez Munoz were	history, time spent, and work performed, but does not appear to address the following
	appointed Co-Guardians of the Person, and	items that were previously noted:
Verilled	Delia Gonzalez was appointed Guardian of the Estate, on 10-31-12.	
Inventory		7. Pursuant to Probate Code §2640(a), a petition for attorney fees may be brought
PTC	Petitioner asks that she be paid a total of	after the filing of the I&A, or any other
Not.Cred.	= \$12,535.00 (\$4,178.50 from each minor's	period of time as the court for good cause
✓ Notice of Hrg	estate) for her services to the guardians,	orders. In this case, only a <u>partial</u> I&A has
	including establishment of temporary and	been filed.
Aff.Pub.	permanent guardianships for all three minors,	The Court may require clarification
Sp.Ntc.	preparations of guardianship questionnaires	regarding good cause for bringing this
Pers.Serv.	for each of the guardians, attendance at	petition prior to the filing of the Final I&A, and also prior to the filing of the first
Conf. Screen	hearings, office and telephone conferences	account, as is usual practice in this Court
Letters	with clients regarding distributions to the	pursuant to Local Rule 7.16.
Duties/Supp	minors, telephone conferences and email correspondence with other attorneys related	8. Petitioner states the sum of \$117,353.88 is
Objections	to the matter, preparation of Response to	currently on deposit, and this amount is
Video	Amended Petition for Visitation, preparation	reflected on the Partial I&A filed 12.18.12.
Receipt	of application for Primerica life insurance	With reference to §2640 and #1 above,
CI Report	proceeds, preparation of orders and receipts	the Court may require further information
9202	for deposits of money into blocked accounts.	for this file regarding what additional assets are expected for each minor. (The
Order		original petition indicated \$0 for the
	currently on deposit in this guardianship estate	estimated estate value.) At this time, a
	account.	status hearing is set for 12-6-13 re: receipt
		of assets from estate.
	Attorney Joanne Sanoian:	9. Need Order, plus Order for Withdrawal of
	11.40 hours @ \$300/hr (\$3,420)	Funds from Blocked Account (MC-358).
	Associate Lisa Horton:	
Aff. Posting	Associate lisa Horion. = 31.30 hours @ \$200/hr (\$6,260)	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 4-3-13
UCCJEA	Paralegal 28.55 hours @ \$100/hr (\$2,855)	Updates: 4-4-13
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice	Note: Costs (reimbursement for filing fees) are	File 4 – De La Mora
	not requested from Rafael's estate; however,	
	filing cost of \$105.15 is requested from	
	Francisco's estate, and \$435 is requested from	
	Fernando's estate.	
<u> </u>		

Wall, Jeffrey L (for Petitioner Steven W. Souza)

Petition for Letters of Administration; Authorization to Administer Under the Independent Administration of Estate Act

DOD: 3/20/12		STEVEN W. SOUZA, son, is petitioner and	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		requests appointment as Administrator	
		without bond.	
		1	Need waiver of bond from surviving
Со	ont. from	Full IAEA – o.k.	spouse, Manuel Souza, Sr. or bond set at \$225,000.00
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	1	361 G1 \$220,000.00
1	Verified	Decedent died intestate.	
Ě	Inventory	Residence: Clovis	
	PTC	Publication: Fresno Business Journal	Note: If the petition is granted, status
			hearings will be set as follows:
	Not.Cred.	=	
	Notice of		• Friday, September 6, 2013 at 9:00
	Hrg W/	Estimated value of the Estate:	a.m. in Department 303, for the filing of the inventory and appraisal.
√	All.Mail VV/	Real property - \$275,000.00	от по пусто у апа арргава.
✓	Aff.Pub.		• Friday, June 6, 2014 at 9:00 a.m. in
	Sp.Ntc.		Department 303, for the filing of the
	Pers.Serv.	Probate Referee: Steven Diebert	first account or petition for final
	Conf.		distribution.
	Screen		Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required
✓	Letters		documents are filed 10 days prior the
✓	Duties/Supp		date set the status hearing will come off
	Objections		calendar and no appearance will be
	Video	1	required.
	Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
✓	Order		
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 4/2/13
	UCCJEA		Updates: 4/8/13
	Citation		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 5 - Souza
			_

Atty O'Grady, John E., of O'Grady Law Group, San Francisco (for Petitioner Aspen Bell)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DOD: 11/27/2012	ASPEN BELL, daughter and named Co-Executor	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	without bond, is Petitioner. (Named Co-Executors decline to act and waive bond.)	Continued from 3/19/2013. Minute Order states the Court notes for the minute order that there is no
Cont. from 031913 Aff.Sub.Wit. S/P	Full IAEA — O.K.	appearance by counsel telephonically or otherwise. Matter continued to 4/9/2013.
Verified Inventory PTC	Will Dated: 5/23/1997	The following note from the last hearing remains:
Not.Cred. V Notice of Hrg	Residence: Fresno Publication: The Business Journal	Note: Proposed personal representative is a resident of Greenwood, Delaware. Court may
Aff.Mail W / O	Estimated value of the Estate:	require bond if the proposed personal representative resides outside California or for other good cause,
Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv.	Real property - \$165,000.00 Personal property - \$47,500.00 Annual income from PP - \$3,840.00	even if the will waives bond, pursuant to California Rule of Court 7.201 (b) and Probate Code § 8571. Court may
Conf. Scrn Aff. Posting	Total - \$215,980.00	require Petitioner to post bond in the sum of \$215,980.00 .
✓ Duties/S Objections Video	Probate Referee: Rick Smith	Note: If petition is granted, Court will set status hearings as follows: • Friday, May 10, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. in
CI Report 9202		Dept. 303 for filing proof of bond, <u>if</u> <u>Court requires bond</u> ; Friday, September 13, 2013 at 9:00
√ Order		 a.m. in Dept. 303 for filing of inventory and appraisal; and Friday, June 13, 2014 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. 303 for filing of first account and/or petition for final distribution. Pursuant Local Rule 7.5, if the documents noted above are filed 10 days prior to the dates listed, the hearings will be taken off calendar and no appearance will be required.
V Letters Status Rpt UCCJEA		Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 3/29/13 Updates:
Citation FTB Notice		Recommendation: File 6 - Gintz

Atty Haught, Rex A (for Petitioner Pamela Lasswell)

Petition to Determine Succession to Real Property (Prob. C. 13151)

PARELA LA CONFIL DE LA CONFIL DEL LA CONFIL DE LA CONFIL DE LA CONFIL DE LA CONFIL DE LA CONFIL DEL LA CONFIL DE LA CONFIL DEL LA CONFIL DE LA CONFI				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DO	DOD: 11/15/12		PAMELA LASSWELL, successor Trustee of	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			the Morris E. Linder Revocable Living Trust,	
			is Petitioner.	
			40 day form DOD.	
Со	nt. from		TO GGY TOTTI DOD.	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		No other proceedings.	
✓	Verified		Will dated: 4/19/1996 devises entire estate	
√	Inventory		to the Morris E. Linder Revocable Living	
	PTC		Trust.	
	Not.Cred.		I & A - \$27,500.00	
✓	Notice of		- 	
	Hrg		Petitioner requests court determination	
✓	Aff.Mail	W/O	that Decedent's 100% interest in real	
	Aff.Pub.		property located at 3319 S. Cherry	
	Sp.Ntc.		Avenue in Fresno passes to her as Trustee	
	Pers.Serv.		of the successor Trustee of the Morris E. Linder Revocable Living Trust.	
	Conf.		Linder Revocable Living 110st.	
	Screen			
	Letters			
	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video			
	Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 4/2/13
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation: SUBMITTED
	FTB Notice			File 7 - Linder

Atty

Gunner, Kevin D. (for Petitioner Frank Milligan)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DC	D: 12/19/2013		FRANK MILLIGAN, named executor	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			without bond, is petitioner.	
			Full IAEA - o.k.	
<u> </u>	nt. from			
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	S/P	Will dated: 1/15/1999	
1	Verified	3/1		Note: If the petition is granted, status
<u> </u>			Residence: Fresno	hearings will be set as follows:
	Inventory		Publication: Fresno Business Journal	_
⊫	PTC			• Friday, September 6, 2013 at 9:00
-	Not.Cred.			a.m. in Department 303, for the
	Notice of Hrg	W//O		filing of the inventory and appraisal.
✓	Aff.Mail	W/O		appraisai.
	Aff.Pub.			• Friday, June 6, 2014 at 9:00 a.m.
	Sp.Ntc.		Estimated Value of the Estate:	in Department 303, for the filing
	Pers.Serv.		Personal Property - \$42,000.00	of the first account or petition for
	Conf. Screen		Annual Income - \$ 2,000.00 <u>Real property</u> - <u>\$125,000.00</u>	final distribution.
✓	Letters		Real property - \$125,000.00 Total - \$169,000.00	Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the
✓	Duties/Supp		4 303,00000	required documents are filed 10
	Objections			days prior the date set the status
	Video			hearing will come off calendar and
	Receipt		Probate Referee – Steven Diebert	no appearance will be required.
	CI Report			
	9202			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 4/2/13
	UCCJEA	ı		Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation: SUBMITTED
	FTB Notice			File 8 - Wisener

9 Atty

Folland, Brian N (for Petitioner Manuel Alex Camarena)

Petition to Determine Succession to Real and Personal Property (Prob. C. 13151)

DOD: 11/18/2011			MANUEL ALEZ CAMARENA, son, is	NE	EDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			petitioner.		,, ,
			40 days since DOD.	1.	Petition requests that personal property also pass to the petitioner however there is no personal
Со	nt. from		No other proceedings.		property listed in the petition or on
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		ν σ σ ν σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ		the inventory and appraisal. (Note:
✓	Verified		Will dated: 9/11/2008 devises the entire estate to decedent's son, Manuel Alex		Order passes the household furniture and furnishings. The court cannot
✓	Inventory		Camarena.		pass property that has not been
	PTC	Χ	I & A - \$110,000.00	2.	inventoried.) #9a(1) or 9a(2) of the petition was
	Not.Cred.		- \$110,000.00	۷.	not answered re: spouse/no spouse.
	Notice of Hrg	N/A	Petitioner requests court determination	3.	#9a(3) or 9a(4) of the petition was
	Aff.Mail		that real property located at 2968 E. Santa Ana in Fresno passes to him pursuant to		not answered re: registered domestic partner/no registered
	Aff.Pub.		Decedent's Will.		domestic partner.
	Sp.Ntc.		2000.0	4.	Inventory and Appraisal is
	Pers.Serv.				incomplete.
	Conf.				It does not include the date of
	Screen				death of the Decedent.
	Letters				 #3 does not indicate if the property listed is all or a portion of
	Duties/Supp				the estate.
	Objections				#5 does not include the property
	Video			5.	tax certificate Attachment #11 does not include
	Receipt			٦.	the decedent's interest in the
	CI Report				property.
	9202			6.	There is no record that the original
✓	Order				Will has been deposited with the Court. Probate Code §8200
Aff. Posting				Rev	viewed by: KT
Status Rpt					viewed on: 4/2/13
UCCJEA					dates:
Citation				_	commendation:
	FTB Notice			File	e 9 - Chavez

Atty DeMeo, Sandra B., sole practitioner of Huntington Beach (self-represented Administrator)

(1) First and Final Account and Report of Administrator and (2) Petition for Distribution of Insolvent Estate to Creditor

DOD: 3/20/2010			SANDRA B. DeMEO, Administrator appointed 7/14/2011 with	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/
			Limited IAEA authority, is Petitioner.	COMMENTS:
			Account period: 3/20/2010 - 12/1/2012	1. Need proposed
Co ✓ ✓	nt. from Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC		Accounting - \$55,215.69 Beginning POH - \$55,000.00 Ending POH - \$45,392.50 (all cash)	order pursuant to Local Rule 7.6.1, containing a statement as to the balance of the estate on
√	Not.Cred. Notice of		Administrator - \$2,148.63 (statutory)	hand, and the amounts to be
✓	Hrg		Administrator XO - \$3,300.00 (per itemization attached as Exhibit B, for 11.0 hours @ \$300/hour for court-confirmed sale of real property)	distributed.
✓	Sp.Ntc.	W/		
	Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen	41.1	Petitioner requests she be authorized to remit the balance on hand in the estate, after payment of costs of administration, to the Department of Health Care Services,	
	Letters 071 Duties/Supp	411	based upon the following:Creditor's Claim for \$67,369.94 was filed 5/17/2011	
	Objections		by the Department of Health Care Services;	
	Video Receipt		 Prior to filing of the Petition for Probate, the Administrator attempted to surrender the Decedent's real property directly to the 	
CI Report			Department of Health Care Services;	
✓	✓ 9202 Order X		However, the Department requested that a	
			probate proceeding be commenced;The assets of the estate are not sufficient to pay the	D 1 1 150
	Aff. Posting		claim in full.	Reviewed by: LEG
	Status Rpt UCCJEA			Reviewed on: 4/2/13 Updates:
	Citation		Distribution is to the Department of Health Care Services in	Recommendation:
✓	FTB Notice		partial satisfaction of the Creditor's Claim – \$39,943.87 cash.	File 10 - Graham
<u> </u>		1		

1 Juventino Banda-Nieto (Estate)

Case No. 05CEPR00806

Alty Alabart, Javier A. (for Petitioners Alfredo Banda Arriaga and Remedios Nieto Rodriguez,

parents)

Atty Fanucchi, Edward L. (for Respondent Maria Luisa Sanchez, purported spouse)

Atty Kruthers, Heather H. (for Petitioner Public Administrator, Administrator of the Estate)

Probate Status Hearing: Court's Ruling on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment; Remaining Issues

DOD: 5/14/2004	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR was appointed Administrator on 3/29/2006.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:
Cont. from 010313	 Notes for Background: Decedent's 1997 California Will admitted to probate for administration on 3/27/2006 identified Decedent's parents, ALFREDO BANDA ARRIAGA and REMEDIOS NIETO RODRIGUEZ, parents as the only 	This matter will be heard at
Aff.Sub.W Verified Inventory PTC	 beneficiaries of Decedent's estate; Decedent's parents were initially to be distributed by Order Settling First and Final Account and Report of Administrator and Allowing Ordinary 	10:30 a.m. in Dept. 303
Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg	Commissions and Fees and for Distribution which was signed and filed on 3/12/2007 the assets from the Decedent's estate in the amount of \$189,958.21 at 50% to each; A woman named MARIA LUISA SANCHEZ, purported spouse, asserts	
Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv.	 that she is the rightful heir of the Decedent entitled to distribution of the entire estate of Decedent; Minute Order dated 11/5/2007 from the hearing on the Petition for Reconsideration of First and Final Account filed by Maria Luisa Sanchez 	
Conf. Screen Letters	states Decedent's estate should be distributed to Sanchez, as the Court stated that it previously granted Sanchez' Petition for Reconsideration of First and Final Account on 10/12/2007, and that the Order on First and Final Account filed 3/12/2007 distributing the estate	
Duties/S Objection Video Rct	to Decedent's parents is revoked. Court also approved a preliminary distribution to Sanchez in the amount of \$103,000.00 on 11/5/2007; the Receipt of Distribution signed by Attorney Fanucchi was filed with the	
9202 Order Aff. Post	 Court on 12/12/2007; PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR'S Amended First and Final Account filed on 2/29/2012 approved on 6/25/2012 states that after payment of a previous force and a contribution of the contributio	Postovrad by #150
Status Rpt UCCJEA	commissions, fees and costs in the amount of \$19,643.43, there will be \$89,703.10 to distribute upon further Court order regarding entitlement to final distribution.	Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 4/3/13 Updates:
Citation FTB Notice	~Please see additional page~	Recommendation: File 1 – Banda- Nieto

First Additional Page 1, Juventino Banda-Nieto (Estate) Case No. 05CEPR00806

Order on Petitioners' Motion to Deem Admissions Admitted; Respondent's Motion in Limine; and Parties' Letter Memoranda Regarding Issues of Law filed 5/31/2012 states in pertinent part regarding the Motions for Summary Judgment:

- Petitioner Banda-Nieto shall file a motion for summary judgment seeking to establish that Sanchez' petition for reconsideration was untimely by reason of Probate Code § 8270(a). Sanchez shall oppose the motion;
- Sanchez shall file a motion for summary judgment seeking to establish the challenged orders are not void on their faces. Petitioner Banda-Nieto shall oppose the motion.

Order on Parties' Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment filed 2/25/2013 finds, in pertinent part, that the Motion for Summary Judgment brought by Arriaga is denied; the Motion for Summary Judgment brought by Sanchez is granted. Accordingly, it now appears to the Court that only one issue remains to be tried on Arriaga's *Petition to Vacate*: whether the subject orders were the result of extrinsic fraud.

Per the Order on Parties' Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment, the parties filed briefs on the subject of what issues, if any, remain to be tried after the Court's ruling, as follows:

- Petitioner's Brief on Remaining Issues to be Tried After Ruling on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment filed 3/13/2013 by Attorney Alabart for Alfredo Banda Arriaga states, in brief sum:
 - The Court found in its Order on Cross-Motion that Respondent Sanchez' Motion for Reconsideration was not untimely because:
 - 1. A Will contest was time barred pursuant to Probate Code § 8270(a) by the **jurisdictional** [emphasis in original] 120 day period for a Will contest;
 - 2. It sought only revocation of the order on First and Final Account that distributed the estate to the Petitioner and his wife for the purpose of introducing additional evidence; and
 - 3. The arguing of new or different facts in the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support of the Motion that Ms. Sanchez was the spouse, or even a putative spouse, and requesting a statutory share of the estate as a "pretermitted heir" is not a Will contest;
 - Mr. Arriaga presents that the issues that remain to be tried following the Court's Order are:
 - 1. Were the subject orders the result of extrinsic fraud;
 - 2. Did the Court lack jurisdiction to order a preliminary distribution to Ms. Sanchez; and
 - 3. What, if any, portion of the Decedent's estate is Ms. Sanchez entitled;

Extrinsic fraud and/or Mistake: Specific incidents of extrinsic fraud occurred in this case; whether these were negligent or intentional incidents, they had the effect of preventing and depriving the Petitioner of the opportunity to fully present his claim or defense to the Court, upon which he would have likely prevailed;

1. Failure of Sanchez' Attorney to Provide Notice of the Court's 8/27/2007 Order. The Minute Order dated 8/27/2007 does not [emphasis in original] specifically direct the Clerk of the Court to ensure that all persons entitled to notice receive a copy of the minute order. Pursuant to Probate Code § 1220(a), it is Ms. Sanchez' responsibility to provide notice; this is true even where the Court requires additional notice unless the Court specifies otherwise. Probate Code § 1221. As such, without specific language showing that the Court directed the Clerk of the Court to provide notice of the 8/27/2007 minute order, it was Ms. Sanchez' responsibility to provide notice to the Petitioner. Without the presumption of Evidence Code § 664, the 11/5/2007 order granting Ms. Sanchez' Motion for Reconsideration would be void on its face because proof of delivery of notice of the 8/27/2007 minute order is absent; as such, Mr. Arriaga's Petition to Vacate Prior Orders would have to be granted.

Second Additional Page 1, Juventino Banda-Nieto (Estate) Case No. 05CEPR00806

Petitioner's Brief on Remaining Issues to be Tried filed 3/13/2013, continued: Extrinsic fraud and/or Mistake:

- 2. Sanchez' Attorney's 11/5/2007 representation that the Court had previously specifically made a finding that the Mexico decree was the valid one: The Order granting the Motion for Reconsideration signed on 10/12/2007 contains no language about determining the validity of any Mexico order or Will. Based upon misinformation given by Ms. Sanchez' attorney at the 11/5/2007 hearing that the Mexican decree was "recognized", the Court acquiesced and stated: "All right, we want the minute order to reflect that the Court has granted the motion for reconsideration and has ruled that the Mexican decree is the valid one of the two, is that correct?" [emphasis in original.] While the Court erroneously indicated, as noted in Mr. Arriaga's Petition to Vacate Prior Orders, that it made a finding that the Mexico decree is the valid one, the Court has never made any finding as to what the Mexican Court actually decreed or its validity pursuant to California
- 3. The oral preliminary distribution request made in Court by Sanchez' attorney: Sanchez never filed a petition with the Court seeking [preliminary] distribution [pursuant to Probate Code § 11623]; the request for a preliminary distribution was an oral request made in Court by Ms. Sanchez' attorney; such an oral request without appropriate notice was not a matter properly before the Court for determination.
- 4. Ms. Sanchez' failure to provide the Mexican Court with the names and address of the Decedent's parents: When Ms. Sanchez filed the petition for intestate estate administration with the Mexican Court, she knew of the Petitioner's existence and his relationship to the Decedent; Ms. Sanchez also knew the Petitioner's address because she had lived in his home (See Declaration of A. Banda; Declaration of R. Nieto.) Ms. Sanchez did not provide the Mexican Court with the Petitioner's name or address as required pursuant to Mexican law; instead, she misrepresented to the Mexican Court that she was the sole heir (See Alleged Petition for Intestate Probate, Mexico, attached as Exhibit 145 to Petitioner's Request for Judicial Notice No. 2.)
- 5. Petitioner's reasonable excusable extrinsic mistake resulted in a failure to litigate and defend his claim:

 Petitioner in his filed declaration specifically stated that he never received any notice of the proceedings in this matter; without receiving notice of the specific proceedings, Mr. Arriaga reasonably believed he was conclusively entitled to and would receive the money from his son's estate; Mr. Arriaga knew that he and his wife were the only named beneficiaries under the 1997 California Will executed by their son and that a proceeding had been commenced whereby they were to receive the money in California pursuant to that Will; they are not sophisticated or well-educated, and were not represented by an attorney of record in this matter as is being claimed by Ms. Sanchez. As evidenced by the Letter from Alfredo Banda to Mexican Consulate at Fresno dated 1/30/2009 (attached as Exhibit 6 to Sanchez' Opposition), the Petitioner reasonably, excusably, and mistakenly believed that no further action by he and his wife was necessary other than facilitating receipt of the money; had Petitioners received any notice of any of the adversarial proceedings [emphasis in original], they could have immediately taken steps to protect their interests before the orders were issued; this reasonable, mistaken belief prevented Petitioner from defending his rights.

Third Additional Page 1, Juventino Banda-Nieto (Estate) Case No. 05CEPR00806

Petitioner's Brief on Remaining Issues to be Tried filed 3/13/2013, continued:

The Court Lacked Jurisdiction to Order Preliminary Distribution to Sanchez:

- The Order on Parties' Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment states: "However, it should be noted that the November 5, 2007 order could not exceed the prayer of the redistribution of the estate, the order of 10/22/2007 had already granted the motion for reconsideration." An issue to be determined is whether the Court in fact did exceed the prayer of the Motion for Reconsideration; and, if or when, was the Court moved for distribution?
- A review of the judgment roll would clearly demonstrate that at no time did Ms. Sanchez petition the Court
 for distribution; the request for preliminary distribution was an oral request made by Court by Ms. Sanchez'
 attorney; such an oral request was without appropriate notice was not a matter properly before the Court
 for determination, and might also be considered extrinsic fraud.

To What, if any, Portion of the Decedent's Estate is Sanchez Entitled?

- The Order on Parties' Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment determined that Ms. Sanchez has no right to the Decedent's estate under the alleged May 2004 Mexico Will; therefore, Ms. Sanchez' only right to recovery in this proceeding is by pleading and proving up her status and right to inherit as a pretermitted heir;
- Ms. Sanchez has the burden of proving her status and inheritance right as a pretermitted heir, which requires
 that she must first prove she is recognized in Mexico as a wife or a putative spouse before she can be
 recognized in California as a spouse or a putative spouse. To prove she was legally married in Mexico, Ms.
 Sanchez must have been married by the Civil Authority, and she admits she was aware of this requirement and
 that she and Decedent were not married before the Civil Authority;
- Ms. Sanchez has filed nothing establishing that Mexico recognizes the concept of putative spouses; Mexico
 does not [emphasis in original] recognize putative spouses. Because Mexico does not recognize putative
 spouses, Ms. Sanchez cannot claim she is a putative spouse under California law. While Mexico may recognize
 the inheritance rights of a concubine, California law does not, and because of this Ms. Sanchez has no status
 that would entitle her to any portion of the Decedent's estate as an heir of Juventino Banda Nieto, nor entitle
 her to inherit under the California Will. Therefore, she has no standing before this Court;
- Decedent's assets subject to the Court's jurisdiction in this matter were the separate property of Decedent; therefore, Ms. Sanchez's maximum right to inherit as a pretermitted heir, if proven under California law in this proceeding before this Court, is limited to ½ of Decedent's estate at most; considering that no fees were taken out of the portion preliminarily distributed, Ms. Sanchez has already received more than ½ of Decedent's estate assets; therefore, the Court should order that the remaining assets of the Decedent's estate be immediately distributed to Mr. Arriaga;
- As to the portion of the estate preliminarily distributed by this Court to Ms. Sanchez, the Court at a minimum should require Ms. Sanchez to return a portion of that preliminary distribution representing half of the Public Administrator's and half of the Public Administrator's Attorney's fees and commissions;
- Ms. Sanchez should also be required to post a bond equivalent to the amount of the preliminary distribution she
 received prior to any further litigation in this matter; any future order of distribution to Ms. Sanchez should be
 reduced by the proportionate share of the Public Administrator's and Public Administrator's Attorney's fees and
 commissions;

Fourth Additional Page 1, Juventino Banda-Nieto (Estate) Case No. 05CEPR00806

Petitioner's Brief on Remaining Issues to be Tried filed 3/13/2013, continued:

In determining to what, if any, distribution Ms. Sanchez is entitled to in this matter, this Court must also
necessarily decide to what extent, if any, the subsequent orders of the Mexico court are controlling.

Conclusion

The issues remaining to be tried after the Order on Parties' Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment depends in part on the extent the Order resolved issues in dispute in this matter; as such, several factual issues still remain to be determined; the Petitioner has attempted to the best of his knowledge and ability to identify all of the remaining issues that still must be determined in this matter; to that extent, the remaining issues for determination by this Court are: (1) the issues discussed above, and (2) those that must be necessarily decided to resolve the identified issues. Some of the issues identified and discussed require purely legal determinations, while others require purely factual determinations; and, in some instances, factual and legal determinations by this Court.

Status Conference Brief Following Decision of Motions for Summary Judgment filed 3/12/2013 by Attorney for Maria Luisa Sanchez states, in brief sum:

- 1. The Sanchez Orders are not void as being the product of extrinsic fraud: extrinsic fraud exists in situations where one party has fraudulently prevented another party from presenting their claim or defense in the action; a party must show he or she had a meritorious defense, which would have been raised but for the other party's wrongful conduct, and must also establish all of the elements of fraud, which include an intentional or reckless misrepresentation and justifiable reliance on the misrepresentation by the aggrieved party; Mr. Arriaga has not made, and cannot make, the required showing.
 - The Sanchez Orders cannot be set aside on the ground of extrinsic fraud because Mr. Arriaga had notice of Ms. Sanchez' motion and was not prevented from opposing that motion: As previously decided by the Court in its order on the parties' cross-motions for summary adjudication, Mr. Arriaga had actual notice of Ms. Sanchez' motion that resulted in the entry of the Sanchez Orders because they were served on him at the address conclusively determined to be his address for service by the Court's order admitting the Will to probate; Mr. Arriaga has presented no evidence that Ms. Sanchez did anything to prevent him from participating in this action or from opposing her Motion for Reconsideration if he wished to do so; there is simply no showing of extrinsic fraud which would provide a basis for setting aside the Sanchez Orders for lack of notice.
 - Failure to serve notice of entry of the Sanchez Orders does not constitute extrinsic fraud: The position taken
 by Mr. Arriaga is that he has never received any notice of any proceeding or order in this action; he does
 not contend or present any evidence suggesting that Ms. Sanchez made any misrepresentation to him, or
 that he relied on any misrepresentation made to him by Ms. Sanchez; Mr. Arriaga, has not alleged, and
 cannot show, that his not being served with notice of entry of the Sanchez Orders constitutes extrinsic fraud
 that would justify setting aside the orders.

~Please see additional page~

Fifth Additional Page 1, Juventino Banda-Nieto (Estate) Case No. 05CEPR00806

Status Conference Brief [of Sanchez] Following Decision of Motions for Summary Judgment filed 3/12/2013, continued:

- The alleged presentation of false or incomplete evidence as the basis for the Sanchez Orders does not constitute extrinsic fraud: A large portion of Mr. Arriaga's petition is provocative and inflammatory rhetoric aggrandizing his claim that the Sanchez Orders are the result of an intentional conspiracy, the intentional suppression or concealment of relevant evidence and presentation of false testimony and fraudulent documents to the Court by Ms. Sanchez and her attorneys, including Mr. Fanucchi; even assuming for the sake of argument that Mr. Arriaga's outrageous claim was true, and that the Sanchez Orders were based on false and incomplete evidence, the result is still that the Sanchez Orders may not be set aside and are conclusive and binding on Mr. Arriaga; a claim that an order is the result of presentation of false evidence in the proceeding which resulted in the order is a claim of intrinsic fraud not extrinsic fraud and will not support setting aside the order after it has become final; since Mr. Arriaga's claims of fraud and concealment constitute, at most, a charge of intrinsic fraud, they patently do not provide a legally sufficient basis to set aside the Sanchez Orders [emphasis in original].
- Alleged legal error resulting in entry of the Sanchez Orders does not constitute extrinsic fraud: Mr. Arriaga's petition also alleges that the Sanchez Orders are void because the Court did not correctly apply the applicable law; this allegation does not demonstrate extrinsic fraud and cannot be used to collaterally attack the Sanchez Orders; Mr. Arriaga's petition makes several allegations suggesting that the Sanchez Orders are the product of the Court's misapplication of the law; all of the allegations are impermissible collateral attacks on the Sanchez Orders based on alleged legal errors in the prior proceedings, and such alleged errors are intrinsic to the proceedings and the law expressly mandates that such attacks cannot be entertained or granted by the Court because the Sanchez Orders have been final for years;
- 2. Conclusion: The only issue of which Ms. Sanchez is aware as being left for resolution prior to disposing of Mr. Arriaga's petition is that identified by the Court whether the Sanchez Orders may be set aside as being products of extrinsic fraud; the facts and law make it clear that this issue must be resolved against Mr. Arriaga and in favor of the validity of the Sanchez Orders. Mr. Arriaga's petition does not allege extrinsic fraud of any sort; rather, his petition alleges at most, examples of intrinsic fraud and legal error that will not support a collateral attack on the Sanchez Orders or any order by this Court setting aside the Sanchez Orders. Since Mr. Arriaga has at no time in this proceeding alleged, in his petition or any other filing, an example of extrinsic fraud that would support setting aside the Sanchez Orders, Ms. Sanchez respectfully requests the Court enter a judgment of dismissal in her favor.

Atty Pacheco, Herminia (pro per Petitioner/maternal grandmother)

Atty Maldonado, Michelle (pro per Objector)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Α	TEADOD A DV EVDIDES 4 (0 (0012)				
	e: 7 years B: 9/4/2005		TEMPORARY EXPIRES 4/9/2013	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
	b: 9/4/2005		HERMINIA PACHECO , paternal grandmother, is petitioner.	Need proof of personal service of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition or	
Со	nt. from		Father: ADAM V. PACHECO, Sr. – personally served on 2/13/13.	Consent and Waiver of Notice on:	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		, , ,	a. Tonika Gonzalez (mother) –	
✓	Verified		Mother: TOKINA GONZALEZ – Declaration of Due Diligence filed on 2/13/13.	unless the court dispenses with notice.	
	Inventory				
	PTC		Paternal grandfather: Ramon Ortiz Pacheco –	2. Need proof of service of the	
	Not.Cred.		Deceased.	Notice of Hearing along with a	
✓	Notice of Hrg		Maternal grandfather: Unknown Maternal grandmother: Unknown	copy of the Petition or Consent and Waiver of Notice or	
	Aff.Mail	Χ		Declaration of Due Diligence	
	Aff.Pub.		Petitioner states the father is currently in jail.	on:	
	Sp.Ntc.		Father left the child in the care of his girlfriend	a. Maternal grandparents	
✓	Pers.Serv.	W/	who is abusing drugs and alcohol.		
✓	Conf. Screen		Objections of Michelle Maldonado, step-		
✓	Letters		mother, filed on 2/15/13. Objector states she believes that the grandmother is not capable		
✓	Duties/Supp		of caring for the child. Objector states Herminia has epilepsy. She is also concerned that		
	Objections		Ramon Pacheco is also living in the home.		
	Video		Objector states Ramon has threatened her life		
	Receipt	1	and is verbally abusive. Objector believes the only reason Herminia wants to have the minor		
✓	CI Report		is to receive money for her. Objector states she		
	9202		wants the minor to be in a home where she is		
✓	Order		taken care of properly and where she is the number one priority.		
	Aff. Posting		Horrison one phony.	Reviewed by: KT	
	Status Rpt		Court Investigator Jennifer Daniel's Report filed	Reviewed on: 4/3/13	
✓	UCCJEA		on 4/2/13	Updates:	
	Citation			Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice			File 11 - Pacheco	

Atty Figueroa, Alexandra (pro per Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Age: 10 years		TEMPORARY EXPIRES 4/9/13	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/	
			COMMENTS:	
		ALEXANDRA FIGUEROA, step-mother, is petitioner.		
Co	nt. from	Father: JAMAL EASTER	Need proof of personal service of the Notice of	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Mother: TRICIA STILES – personally served on 2/19/13.	Hearing along with a copy of the Petition or Consent	
—	Verified	Monter. Inicia Stills personally solved on 2, 17, 10.	and Waiver of Notice or	
√	Verilled	Paternal grandfather: Deceased.	Declaration of Due	
	Inventory	Paternal grandmother: unknown	Diligence for:	
	PTC	Maternal grandfather: unknown	a. Jamal Easter (father)	
	Not.Cred.	Maternal grandmother: Bernice Stiles – personally		
✓	Notice of Hrg	served on 3/11/13.	Need proof of service of the Notice of Hearing	
	Aff.Mail X	Petitioner states the father has never been involved	along with a copy of the	
	Aff.Pub.	and chooses not to be. The mother is a drug abuser	Petition or Consent and	
	Sp.Ntc.	and is in no condition to physically or mentally care for	Waiver of Notice or	
✓	Pers.Serv. W/	the child. Petitioner states she has helped care for and been in the child's life since he was 3 months old.	Declaration of Due Diligence for:	
✓	Conf. Screen	Court Investigator Samantha Henson's Report filed on	a. Paternal grandmother b. Maternal grandfather	
✓	Letters	3/29/13.	b. Maiornai granaianioi	
✓	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video			
	Receipt			
✓	CI Report			
	9202			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT	
	Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 4/3/12	
✓	UCCJEA		Updates:	
	Citation		Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice		File 12 – Davis-Easter	
	-		12	

12

Pro Per Gaitan, Vera Rodriquez (Pro Per Petitioner, maternal grandmother)

Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 2250)

Nevada Age: 7 yrs		Concret Heaving action 5/20/2012	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
Ele	ont. from Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg X Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. X Conf. Screen Aff. Posting Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report	Paternal grandparents of Nevada: Unknown. Paternal grandparents of Electra: Not provided. Maternal grandfather: Richard P. Rodriquez Petitioner states the children have been living with her since 2008, and the mother comes and goes from the children's life. Petitioner states the mother has a drug problem and has been homeless on and off for the past 10 years. Petitioner states it is in the best interest of the children that they be protected from the mother	 If Court does not excuse notice as Petitioner requests, need Notice of Hearing and proof of five (5) court days' notice by personal service of the Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian, or Consent to Appointment of Guardian and Waiver of Notice, or a Declaration of Due Diligence, for: Ismael Velasco, father of Nevada; Brian S. Acevedo, father of Electra. Need Guardianship Petition – Child Information Attachment (form GC-210) for Electra Acevedo. Item 6 of Confidential Guardian Screening form filed on 3/25/2013 is incomplete re: social worker or parole or probation officer. 	
√	Order	due to her abuse of drugs and being homeless living out on the street.		
<u> </u>	Letters Status Pot		Reviewed by: LEG	
✓	Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice	Petitioner requests to be excused from giving notice to the father of Nevada (Ismael Velasco) as he was deported in 2008 to Mexico and she has no information about him; and to the father of Electra (Brian Acevedo) because he was just released from Soledad State Prison and his whereabouts are unknown.	Reviewed on: 4/3/13 Updates: Recommendation: File 13 – Rodriguez & Acevedo	

Atty Wiggin, Lavonne (pro per Petitioner/maternal grandmother)

Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 2250)

Age: 4 months			TEMPORARY EXPIRES 4/9/13	NEI	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
			GENERAL HEARING 5/29/13	1.	Need Notice of Hearing.	
			LAVONNE REA WIGGIN, maternal	2.	Need proof of personal service of the	
Со	nt. from		grandmother, is petitioner.		Notice of Hearing along with a copy of	
1	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Father: MICHAEL JAMES FIELDS, JR.		the temporary petition or Consent and Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due	
	les combon c				Diligence for:	
	Inventory PTC		Mother: NICOLE LEE WIGGIN		a. Michael James Fields, Jr. (father)	
	Not.Cred.		Paternal grandfather: Michael James		b. Nicole Lee Wiggins (mother)	
		Χ	Fields			
	Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail	Χ	Paternal grandmother: Christina Marberry			
	Aff.Pub.		Maternal grandfather: Frederick Carl			
			Wiggin			
	Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv.	Χ	Petitioner states the parents are both			
	Conf. Screen	۸	juveniles. They are both frequently			
✓	Coni. screen		engaged in domestic fights. The police			
	Letters		have been out several times. Petitioner			
✓	Duties/Supp		states she has been caring for the minor since his birth. Mom is now threatening to			
	Objections		run off and live with the father. CPS has			
	Video		removed the younger brother and sister			
	Receipt		of the father because the home is unfit for			
	CI Report		small children to be in. Father also has a			
	9202		drug and alcohol problem.			
	Order			Ļ		
	Aff. Posting				viewed by: KT	
	Status Rpt				viewed on: 4/3/13	
✓	UCCJEA			Up	dates:	
	Citation				commendation:	
	FTB Notice			File	e 14 - Fields	
					1.4	

14